

VRJ

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1036 OF 2024

VAIBHAV
RAMESH
JADHAV
Digitally signed by
VAIBHAV
RAMESH JADHAV
Date: 2024.04.29
18:51:21 +0530

Bank of Maharashtra,
Through Its Chief Manager
Mr. Umesh B. Shinde

... Petitioner

V/s.

Milind Yashwant Kamble

... Respondent

Mr. A. R. Gole for the petitioner.

Ms. Shraddha Pawar i/by Mr. Nilesh Patil for the
respondent.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : APRIL 18, 2024

P.C.:

1. Arguable questions are raised. Hence, **Rule.**
2. On perusal of the impugned judgment, it appears that the charges levelled against the *respondent* were held to be proved. However, the Industrial Court directed the petitioner-bank to pay 50% of backwages by recording a finding that the punishment imposed by the petitioner-bank appears to be illegal and unjustified.
3. The charges levelled against the *respondent* is that the *respondent* in collusion with one Mr. Karande caused loss of Rs.54 Lakhs. Substance of charges levelled against the *respondent* is to

the effect that despite transferring amounts from the account of Mr. Karande in favour of third parties, corresponding debit entries were not made in Mr. Karande's account.

4. In my opinion, the acts which are held to be proved against the *respondent* prima facie justifies punishment imposed against the *respondent*. Hence, there shall be interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b).

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)

Note:- This order is modified as per order dated 29 April 2024. The corrections are shown in italicize.