

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 936 OF 2024

Siddeshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal
Siddhanerli Throu. It Presdient

...Petitioner

Versus

Ranjana Ananda Magdum And Ors

...Respondents

....

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, for the Petitioner.

Mr. P.V. Nelsonrajan, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 – State.

Mr. Akarsh Desai, for Respondent No.6.

....

**CORAM : AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.
DATE : 9th JULY 2024**

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is contended that the respondent No.1 as well as respondent No. 6 were appointed on the same date and placed in Category “C” of Schedule “F” of the Maharashtra Employees of Private Schools (Conditions of Service) Regulation Act, 1977 (“MEPS Act”) inspite of which, the learned Tribunal, by the impugned Judgment dated 13.10.2023, though he finds, that the respondent No. 6 is senior to the respondent No.1, has directed the respondent No. 1 to be

ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL

Digitally signed
by ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL
Date: 2024.07.09
14:29:32 +0530

reinstated as the headmistresses, as the respondent No. 6, has not complained about the promotion granted to respondent No.1 by filing an Application under Section 9 of the MEPS Act within a reasonable period (page 234).

2. Issue notice to the respondents for final disposal returnable on 05.08.2024.

3. Mr Nelsonrajan, learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and Mr. Akarsh Desai, learned counsel waives service for respondent no. 6.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)