

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 712 OF 2024

M/s. Sai Sane Developers Petitioner

Versus

Kishor Subhash Gholap and Ors. Respondents

SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM
Digitally signed
by SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM
Date:
2024.09.25
14:38:43 +0530

Adv. Ravi Kadam, for the Petitioner.
Adv. Sudhir Sadavarte, for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 24th SEPTEMBER, 2024.

P.C. :

1. The short point is involved in this Petition is as to whether the impugned order can sustained, since it is unseasoned order.
2. The order impugned reads thus:-

ORDER

“Adv. B. B. Bhargude present for applicant in case No.P/VIII/4/2017 & P/X/8/2017.

Nobody present for respondent.

Revision allowed. S.D.O. order No. Ten/Apeal/1/2014, dated 24/07/2017 and order

*of A.L.T. in TNC Case No.32G/SR/18/2024,
dated 24/03/2005 both set aside.
Remitted back to A.L.T. Haveli for fresh enquiry in
the light of observations made in judgment.”*

3. In order to ascertain, this is operative part of the order the order by itself, the direction was given to place on record any such detailed order passed by the Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, Bench at Pune. No such order is shown to have been passed indicating reasons for allowing the Revision Application. The order impugned being not supported by any reason cannot sustained.

4. As a result of this, the impugned order is set aside. The proceedings bearing Revision Application No.P/X/8/2017, are relegated back to the Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal for decision a fresh. Any order passed by the Authority on the basis of order impugned shall not sustain and such orders too stand set aside.

5. The Revenue Tribunal to decide this Revision within a period of six months.

6. In view of above, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)