



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

REVISION APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 2024

Dattatray Bapu Dighe

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Adv. Pankaj Sayajirao Shinde	Advocate for the Applicant
Ms. S. E. Phad	APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 03rd JULY 2024

P. C. :-

1. Service report on Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 is not received.

Affidavit of the service is also not filed.

2. Matter be kept on 31st July 2024.

Later on

3. The matter is mentioned by learned Advocate for the Applicant.

He has submitted that in fact, online board this matter is shown at Serial No. 35, so how it was called at serial no. 19. I verified with the board Clerk. He submitted that it may be draft board.

4. Be that it may, I have heard learned Advocate for the Applicant. He has served Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 by private notice sent through post. They are duly served. Let him file an affidavit in the office within seven days from today. Court will go through to affidavit on next date. The order of framing of the charge is challenged on the following grounds:

- (i) The first informant is not registered holder of the Copyright.
- (ii) The procedure of the search and seizure is improper.

5. He wants to rely upon few judgments. He has shown it to me. He can file it on the next date by doing pagination.

6. Matter be kept on **31st July 2024, high on board.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]