

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 46 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 46 OF 2024**

Asma Yakub Gigani ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 49 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 541 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 49 OF 2024**

Ramzan Alin Solanki ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 48 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 48 OF 2024**

Khalqllah Mohammed Munir Khan ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 47 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 47 OF 2024

Mr. Mohammad Naz Rahmani ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 50 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 546 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 50 OF 2024

Mohammad Pervez ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 53 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 550 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 53 OF 2024

Fareed Z. Qureshi ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 54 OF 2024

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 54 OF 2024**

Mr. Azizur Rahman Khan	..Appellant
Vs.	
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development Authority (MMRDA)	..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 57 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 57 OF 2024**

Munna Noor Mohammad Solanki	..Appellant
Vs.	
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development Authority (MMRDA)	..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 51 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 545 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 51 OF 2024**

Ashfaq Abdul Kasu through Authorised Signatory Ismail Kasu	..Appellant
Vs.	
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development Authority (MMRDA)	..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 52 OF 2024
WITH**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 52 OF 2024**

Shakil Ahmed Khan ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 55 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 552 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 55 OF 2024**

Naushad Kadar Chilwan ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 56 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 56 OF 2024**

Amannullah Ishaq Ghojaria ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 60 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 562 OF 2024
IN**

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 60 OF 2024

Shaikh Arifahmed Abdulkader ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 58 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 557 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 58 OF 2024**

Zeenat Hamid Chougale through
Amanullah Ishaq Ghojaria ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 59 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 59 OF 2024**

Mr. Sohail Raihan ..Appellant
Vs.
Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development
Authority (MMRDA) ..Respondent

Mr. Ashish Kamat, Senior Counsel a/w. Mr. Mohit Khanna a/w.
Sherin Baby i/b. Falcon Legal, for the Appellants in all AO's.
Ms. Anjali Helekar a/w. Shalaka More, for the Respondent –
MMRDA.

CORAM : R. N. LADDHA, J.
DATE : 23 APRIL 2024

P.C.

. The appellants herein own flats in the building known as 'Universal Garden' situated in Jogeshwari (West), Mumbai. These appellants challenged the notices issued by the respondent under Section 55 of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966, before the learned City Civil Court at Dindoshi, Mumbai. They also filed a notice of motions praying for interim reliefs. By the impugned orders, the learned trial Court refused to grant the appellants ad-interim relief. Aggrieved thereby, the appellants have approached this Court.

2. After hearing the matters for some time, the learned counsel for the parties agreed to maintain status quo till the pending notice of motions are decided by the trial Court. The learned counsel for the parties state that the parties will cooperate with the learned trial Court for the expeditious disposal of the respective notice of motions.

3. In view of that, all these appeals stand disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court to decide the respective notice of motions pending before it expeditiously and preferably within eight weeks from the date of receipt of the order. Till the decision of the respective notice of motion, the parties shall maintain the status quo.

4. As a sequel, pending applications also stand disposed of.

R. N. LADDHA, J.