

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3173 OF 2023

Ashish Pravin Bhalerao

..Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4610 OF 2023

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4591 OF 2023

IN

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3173 OF 2023

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3189 OF 2023

Usha Mahesh Sawant

..Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4805 OF 2023

IN

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3189 OF 2023

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3190 OF 2023

Amit Pravin Bhalerao

..Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4804 OF 2023

IN

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3190 OF 2023

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3186 OF 2023**

Enas Augustin D'souza	..Applicant
Versus	
State of Maharashtra	..Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4767 OF 2023
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3186 OF 2023**

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3187 OF 2023**

Shantaram Mahadev Tupe	..Applicant
Versus	
State of Maharashtra	..Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4783 OF 2023
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3187 OF 2023**

Mr. Mihir Desai, Sr. Advocate a/w. Ashwin Ranjare a/w. Sagar Talekar i/b. Dinesh C. Patankar for Applicant in ABA/3173/23.
Mr. Ashwin Ranjare a/w. Sagar Talekar i/b. Dinesh C. Patankar for Applicant in ABA/3189/23, ABA/3190/23, ABA/3186/23, ABA/3187/23.
Mr. Pravin H. Padave a/w. Shobhana R. Waghmare a/w. Akshata Kadam for intervenor in IA/4591/23, IA/4805/23, IA/4804/23, IA/4767/23, IA/4783/23.
Ms. Suvarna Yadav for intervenor in IA/4610/23.
Ms. S. S. Kaushik, APP for State/Respondent.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.

DATE : 03 JANUARY 2024

P.C. :

1. After arguing for some time, when I expressed my disinclination to grant relief in these applications, learned senior counsel and other learned counsel pray for unconditional withdrawal of these applications. Their only request is that, this withdrawal may not come in their way if and when they prefer an application for regular bail. The request is reasonable.

2. Permission is granted. The applications are allowed to be withdrawn unconditionally. It is made clear that, in future if any of the applicants prefer an application for regular bail, it shall be decided on its own merits uninfluenced by withdrawal of these anticipatory bail applications.

3. With this observation, all the anticipatory bail applications are disposed of.

4. With disposal of the main applications, all the interim applications are also disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)