

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2024
IN
REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO. 32380 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1449 OF 2018

Rangnath Narayan Bhise

...Petitioner.

Versus

Karbhari Pandu Bodke

...Respondent.

Mr. Girish R. Agrawal for the petitioner.

Ms. Rukmini Khairnar i/b Mr. Pramod Joshi for the respondent.

Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.

Date : February 6, 2024.

P. C. :

1. Interim application has been preferred for condonation of delay of 82 days caused in filing the review petition. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that they were not served in the main petition and they acquired knowledge during the execution proceedings before the trial Court.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the petitioners were well aware about the pending proceedings and no

explanation has been given for the delay.

3. Considering the submission that there was non service of main petition upon the petitioners herein and that the date of knowledge is stated to be upon being informed in the executing Court, in my view, there is sufficient explanation for the delay of 82 days caused in filing the review petition.

4. In view of the above, delay is condoned. Interim application stands allowed.

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]