

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4821 OF 2021

Kabir Abbas Pallawkar and Others ... Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

Ms. Panthi Desai i/b. M. P. Vashi & Associates for the Petitioners.

Mr. S. B.Kalel, AGP for the Respondent -State.

Mr. Narendra Bandiwadekar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vinayak Kumbhar, Adv. Rajendra Khaire, Adv. Aniket S. Phapale i/b. Ms Ashwini N. Bandiwadekar for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

Accordingly, one officer from the Registry was nominated, who has submitted a report that all compliances have been done such as identity, signatures etc.

3. The Respondent No. 1-State of Maharashtra has not signed the consent terms. Since the Respondent No. 1 has not signed the consent terms, the consent terms are only between the Petitioners and the private management.

4. The consent terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. By this consent terms parties have agreed for certain mode of payment. We do not find anything objectionable in this agreement between the parties.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms. All undertakings are accepted.

6. As pointed out in the report, the affidavit is filed in respect of correction in the name of the Petitioner No. 4, which is taken on record.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)