



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 5341 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5343 OF 2022**

1. Konda Chandrashekhar
2. Konda Satyanarayana
3. Konda Chandramouli
4. K. Annapurna
VS.

..Petitioners

1. The State of Maharashtra
2. Bajaj Finance Limited

..Respondents

Mr.Aditya Sawant, for the Petitioners.

Ms.Monika Ukale Gupte a/w Mr.Suraj Gupte, Mr.Abhishek
Deshpande, for Respondent No.2.

Ms. S. D. Shinde, APP for the State.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 08, 2024

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the respondent no.2.

2. After arguing the matter for some time, a fair approach
was adopted by the parties. On instructions, learned counsel
for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are not
pressing these petitions so far as the petitioner no.1 is
concerned. Consequently, the writ petitions as regards the

petitioner no.1 stand dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 stated that they have no objection if present petitions are allowed to the extent of the petitioners no.2 to 4. Consequently, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of prayer clause (b) so far as the petitioners no.2 to 4 are concerned.

4. Needless to mention that the present order is restricted to the liability so far as the proceedings under the Negotiable Instruments Act is concerned. It is always open for the respondent no.2 to enforce the liability against the petitioners no.2 to 4 in accordance with law, in which event all contentions of the parties are kept open.

5. The writ petitions are disposed of.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)