

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2334 OF 2023

Shri. Jitesh Uttam Kamble

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra Thru The
Secretary, School Education And
Sports Department And Ors

...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2332 OF 2023

SNEHA
NITIN
CHAVAN

Digitally signed by
SNEHA NITIN
CHAVAN
Date: 2024.01.23
13:19:06 +0530

Smt. Manju Shivendra Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra Thru Sec.
School Education Dept. And Ors

...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2333 OF 2023

Shri. Sanjay Sanket Ujagare

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra Thru The
Secretary, School Education And
Sports Dept. And Ors

...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2335 OF 2023

Shri. Hitesh Vira Parmar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra Thru The
Secretary, School Education And
Sports Dept. And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Prashant Bhavake for the Petitioners.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for the Respondent/State.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
M.M.SATHAYE, JJ.
DATE : 11 JANUARY 2024**

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the parties. The table showing necessary details for passing common order, are as below.

Writ Petition No.	Name of the Petitioner	Working as	Proposal pending before Respondent Authority
2334/2023	Jitesh Uttam Kamble	Laboratory Assistant	Resp. No. 4 Dy. Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai
2332/2023	Manju Shivendra Singh	Junior Clerk	Resp. No. 5 Education Inspector, North Division, Mumbai for forwarding to Resp. No. 4 Dy. Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai
2333/2023	Sanjay Sanket Ujagare	Junior Clerk	Resp. No. 4 Dy. Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai
2335/2023	Hitesh Vira Parmar	Junior Clerk	Resp. No. 4 Dy. Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai

2. Petitioners – Employees are seeking direction to above Respondent Authorities to decide their pending proposals for allotting Shalarth ID to them. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Petitioners, on instructions, that their respective Respondent managements are supporting their cause till today, and we proceed on the basis of said statement.

3. It is submitted that the Petitioners' proposals are pending from August 2021 in WP/2332/2023 and from October 2022 in remaining petitions.

4. In view of said pendency of the proposals, the respective Respondent Authorities are directed to communicate their proposed grounds of rejection/s to the respective Respondent/ Educational Institutes, if any, within a period of 3 weeks from today. The respective Respondent/Educational Institutes shall thereafter submit their explanation to the proposed grounds of rejection, along with supporting material including case laws/ orders of this Court, Government Resolutions etc, if relied upon.

5. The respective Respondent Authorities are thereafter directed to decide within 8 weeks, the pending proposals of the Petitioners by dealing with the explanation/s and material submitted by the Respondent/Educational Institutes and pass reasoned orders.

6. We have not expressed any opinion on the Petitioners'

proposals and the same shall be decided on their own merits in accordance with law. Needless to mention that if the respective Respondent Authorities proceed to grant proposal for Shalarth IDs, the aforesaid procedure/directions will not apply. The contention of the Petitioners that once approval is granted, Shalarth ID cannot be rejected, is kept open.

7. The writ petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)