



Diksha Rane

2. WP 538819.doc

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.5388/2019

GAURANG JEEVANLAL KATARIA ..PETITIONER
VS.
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.5390/2019**

AMRITLAL RAMJIBHAI KATARIA ..PETITIONER
VS.
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.5392/2019**

MIHIR AMRITLAL KATARIA ..PETITIONER
VS.
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ..RESPONDENTS

Adv. Vijay Hiremath for the petitioners.
Mr. S. H. Yadav, APP for the State.
Adv. Ameeta Kuttikrishnan for the respondent no.2.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 9, 2024.

P.C. :

- 1.** I am informed that during the pendency of the writ petitions, the charge has been framed.
- 2.** In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would like to

challenge the order framing charge. Since the charge has already been framed, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to withdraw these writ petitions with liberty to file appropriate proceedings challenging the order framing charge.

3. The writ petitions are allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

4. All contentions are kept open.

5. It is made clear that the grounds raised in these writ petitions are available for the petitioners to be raised at the appropriate stage in case such proceedings are taken up.

6. The writ petitions are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)