

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3268 OF 2023

Suvarna Ramesh Kolekar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.3271 OF 2023

Deepali Suresh Ingale

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Dilip Bodake a/w. Shraddha Pawar, for Petitioners in both petitions.

Mr. Avinash B. Avhad, for Respondent No.5 in both petitions.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in WP/3268/2023.

Smt. Nisha M. Mehra, AGP for Respondent/State in WP/3271/2023.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 21 MARCH 2024

P.C.:

. These petitions are filed by the respective employees of the Respondent- Management towards their service-related disputes and arrears of salary. Learned counsel for the parties state that Petitioner in both petitions have signed consent terms with Respondent- Management. The Registry has examined the consent terms as

regards identity, vakalatnama etc. and has certified that they are in order. By the consent terms, the parties have resolved service disputes and have arrived at an arrangement regarding the monetary dues. We have gone through the consent terms which is taken on record and marked as “X”. We make it clear that these consent terms will be only between the Petitioners and Respondent- Management and will not bind State authorities who are not signatory to these consent terms

2. Accordingly, writ petitions are disposed of in terms of consent terms.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)