

Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**REVIEW PETITION NO. 101 OF 2023**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 1944 OF 2018**

Suhas Madhukar Shirsath

...Petitioner

*Versus*

State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Respondents

---

**Mr Mandar Limaye, for the Petitioner.**

**Ms PN Diwan, AGP, for the Respondent-State.**

---

**CORAM    G.S. Patel &**  
**Neela Gokhale, JJ.**  
**DATED:    22nd March 2024**

SHEPHALI  
SANJAY  
MORMARE

Digitally signed by  
SHEPHALI SANJAY  
MORMARE  
Date: 2024.03.26  
10:19:44 +0530

**PC:-**

1.     Some authority not having been considered in a manner to the satisfaction of the Review Petitioner is not a ground for review.

2.     In our view, there is no error apparent on the face of the record. It is clear that our judgment on the review relies on and follows the decision of the *Supreme Court* in *M Ramanatha Pillai v The State of Kerala & Anr.*<sup>1</sup> The submission that there is a another judgment of *this Court* that distinguishes the *High Court* decision in

---

1     (1973) 2 SCC 650.

*Satish Ganpatrao Patil & Ors v State of Maharashtra & Ors*<sup>2</sup> (which we considered) will make no difference.

3. There is no substance in the Review Petition. It is dismissed.

**(Neela Gokhale, J)**

**(G. S. Patel, J)**

---

<sup>2</sup> MANU/MH/0547/2015.