

Shephali

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 100 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 302 OF 2018

Rajendra Bhaskarrao Sonawane ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

Mr Mandar Limaye, for the Petitioner.
Mr Rohit Parab, i/b CG Gavnekar, for one of the Respondents.
Ms PN Diwan, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

SHEPHALI
SANJAY
MORMARE

Digitally signed by
SHEPHALI SANJAY
MORMARE
Date: 2024.03.26
10:19:44 +0530

**CORAM G.S. Patel &
Neela Gokhale, JJ.**
DATED: 22nd March 2024

PC:-

1. Some authority not having been considered in a manner to the satisfaction of the Review Petitioner is not a ground for review.
2. In our view, there is no error apparent on the face of the record. It is clear that our judgment on the review relies on and follows the decision of the *Supreme Court* in *M Ramanatha Pillai v The State of Kerala & Anr.*¹ The submission that there is a another

1 (1973) 2 SCC 650.

judgment *of this Court* that distinguishes the *High Court* decision in *Satish Ganpatrao Patil & Ors v State of Maharashtra & Ors*² (which we considered) will make no difference.

3. There is no substance in the Review Petition.

4. The Review Petition is dismissed.

(Neela Gokhale, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)

2 MANU/MH/0547/2015.