

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.20048 OF 2005
IN
LAND ACQUISITION REFERENCE NO.196 OF 2002
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5945 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.20048 OF 2005**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. : Appellants
Vs.
Shri. Harishchandra Pangalya Thakur & Ors. : Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5239 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.20048 OF 2005**

The Government of Maharashtra & Ors. : Applicants
Vs.
Shri. Harishchandra Pangalya Thakur
Since deceased through legal heirs
Vithabai Harichandra Thakur & Ors. : Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5947 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.20048 OF 2005**

The Government of Maharashtra & Ors. : Applicants
Vs.
Shri. Harishchandra Pangalya Thakur & Ors. : Respondents

Adv. A. R. Patil, AGP for the State.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 18TH APRIL, 2024

PC. :

1. This Appeal arises out of the Judgment & Award dated 8th April, 2005 in LAR No.196 of 2002 passed by the Learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Alibag. The amount of compensation awarded by the learned SLAO was Rs.5,182/-. The said amount is enhanced to Rs.72,360/-. The enhancement is thus only Rs.67,178/-.
2. Though the Interim Applications are pending. That this Court has considered the Appeal.
3. This Court has gone through the Impugned Judgment & Award, it is seen that the Court has rightly considered the evidence led before the Court and appreciated the judgment cited before him. There is no contra evidence laid by the learned SLAO. Thus, on merits also this Court does not find that a case is made out, calling for interference at the hands of this Court. Though it is vehemently argued by the learned AGP, this Court does not find any reason call for interference.
4. There is no merit on the Appeal and same is deserves to be dismissed.
5. The Appeal thus stands dismissed.

6. In view of the dismissal of the Appeal, pending Applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(KISHORE C. SANT, J.)