

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 12191 OF 2016

Shri Chandrakant Janu Pawar. ... Petitioner.
V/s.
Union of India and another. ... Respondents.

Mr.Darshit Jain i/b. Gireesh U.G.Menon for the Petitioner.
Ms.Shehnaz Bharucha for the Respondents.

SANJAY
KASHINATH
NANOSKAR

Digitally signed by
SANJAY KASHINATH
NANOSKAR
Date: 2024.04.10
11:44:58 +0530

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR, AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.

DATE : 3 April 2024.

P.C. :

The Petitioner is raising dispute which relates to the service with the Union of India.

2. The law is settled as far back in the year 1997 by the decision of the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court in *L.Chandra Kumar v. Union of India*¹ that for the service dispute with the Union of India, the court of first instance is an Administrative Tribunal and, therefore, the High Court should not entertain the petition directly in respect of the same.

¹ AIR 1997 SC 1125

3. The Petitioner has not challenged the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal. The counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has crossed the age of superannuation. If the grievance of the Petitioner still remains and if any relief is to be sought, it is open to the Petitioner to approach the Tribunal, subject to delay etc.

2. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)