

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO.2256 OF 2023

Arvind Narayan Surve ...Applicant
vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Maya Updeshe a/w. Ms. Sana Shaikh, Mr. Pratik T., Ms. Kusum Shah, for the Applicant.

Mr. Tanveer Khan, APP, for the Respondent/State.

Mr. R.N. Loke, PSI, Sakinaka police station.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : APRIL 24, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant.
2. When the Court expressed its disinclination to entertain the prayer for bail, the learned counsel for the applicant, on instruction, seeks leave to withdraw the application.
3. Leave granted.
4. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn.
5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been in custody for more than five years, yet the trial has not commenced and, therefore, the trial be expedited.
6. The prayer of the applicant in the backdrop of the period incarceration appears justifiable.
7. Hence, the learned Additional Session Judge seized with Sessions Case No. 709 of 2019 arising out of C.R. No. 353 of 2019 is

requested to make an endeavour to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year from the date of communication of this order.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)