

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 8519 OF 2022

Mugdha d/o Venimadhav Thakur and another. ... Petitioners.
V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and others. ... Respondents.

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 19648 OF 2022
AND
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 15695 OF 2023

Mr.Arvind K. Tiwari with Santosh Patil and Jitendra Ahire
for the Petitioners.

Mr.M.M.Pabale, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr.Sandeep Waghmare for Respondent No.4.

SANJAY
KASHINATH
NANOSKAR

Digitally signed by
SANJAY
KASHINATH
NANOSKAR
Date: 2024.04.29
19:27:56 +0530

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.

DATE : 23 April 2024.

P.C. :

The Petitioners have challenged the order passed by the Scrutiny Committee at Nandurbar. On 10 June 2022, the learned AGP had raised an objection that the petition will have to be filed before the Aurangabad Bench of this Court. The Petitioners were directed to convince the Court regarding territorial jurisdiction. Yet, no action is taken. The matter continues in this Court.

2. A writ of certiorari is sought and, primarily, the relief is as regards the order passed by the Scrutiny Committee at Nandurbar. Clearly, therefore, the petition ought to have been filed in Aurangabad Bench of this Court. It is only out of indulgence and because the Petitioners are students who should not suffer because of incorrect steps taken by the advocate that we extend the ad-interim order.

3. While we dispose of the petition permitting the Petitioner to file writ petition in the Aurangabad Bench of this Court, we extend the ad-interim relief for a period of three weeks.

4. In view of disposal of writ petition, interim applications do not survive and stand disposed of accordingly.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)