



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 449 OF 2023

Parasmal Bhikamchand Sirohia

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Mahal Die Cast and Electric Pvt Ltd

.....Respondent

Mr. Rohan Cama i/b Mr. Abhishek Prabhu for the petitioner

Mr. Saket Mone, Mr. Shrey Shah i/b Vidhi Partners for respondent nos. 1 and 2

Mr. Karl Tamboly a/w Mr. Amit Patil and Mr. Rishabh Jadhav i/b Parinam Law Associates for respondent nos. 3 to 5

CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.

DATE : 3rd MAY 2024

P.C.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of order dated 23rd April 2024, he is paid rental amount. However, there is certain dispute with regard to the petitioner's entitlement of the interest and escalation part. He further submits that copy of the draft agreement is also handed over to him. However, there are certain

disputes between the parties with regard to the terms of the agreement. He therefore submits that the petitioner be permitted to file appropriate proceedings for execution of the consent terms.

2. Learned counsels for respondent nos. 1, 3 to 5 submit that payment made by respondent no. 1 in terms of order dated 23rd April 2024 is without prejudice to their rights and contentions as the parties were exploring some workable solution.

3. In view of aforesaid, contempt petition does not survive. Hence, contempt petition is dismissed.

4. All contentions of all parties are kept open.

5. It is clarified that the petitioner is at liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings as permissible in law for execution of the consent terms.

[GAURI GODSE, J.]