



Gaikwad RD

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**WRIT PETITION NO.7067 OF 2010**

Vidyadhar Kashinath Thakur

...Petitioner

*Versus*

Bhagwan Balaram Tandel &amp; Anr.

...Respondents

*None for the Petitioner.***Mr. Rohit Parab, i/b. Mr. C. G. Gavnekar, for Respondent No.1.****Mr. P. P. Kakade, Government Pleader, with Mr. O. A.***Chandurkar, Addl. GP, & Mr. A. R. Metkari, AGP for  
Respondent No.2-State.***CORAM Dr. Neela Gokhale, J.****DATED: 12<sup>th</sup> January 2024****PC:-**

1. The learned Government Pleader (Appellate Side) had circulated in the Registry a list of old infructuous matters so that these matters could be listed and disposed as infructuous. This petition is one such matter.

2. On 8<sup>th</sup> January 2024, none appeared for the Petitioner. Mr. Gavanekar, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.1 stated that the Petition was infructuous as the tenure of the grampanchayat expired in the year 2012 itself. It is specifically recorded in the order that if none appeared for the Petitioner on the adjourned date, the matter may be dismissed.

3. Today when the matter is called out, none appear for the Petitioner.

4. The petition is dismissed as infructuous.

5. However, if the Petitioner feels that some cause of action since survives, he is at liberty to take steps to revive the petition in accordance with law.

**(Dr. Neela Gokhale, J)**