

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.192 OF 2024

- | | | |
|--|---|----------------|
| 1. Balasaheb Tatya Lokare |] | |
| 2. Mandakini Tatya Lokare |] | .. Petitioners |
| Vs. | | |
| 1. The State of Maharashtra, |] | |
| Through Department of Revenue |] | |
| 2. The Principal Secretary, |] | |
| Department of Revenue & Forest |] | |
| 3. The Divisional Commissioner of Pune Division, |] | |
| Vidhan Bhavan, Pune. |] | |
| 4. The Collector of Solapur, Solapur |] | |
| 5. The Tahasildar, Barshi. |] | .. Respondents |

Mr. Abhijit Kulkarni, i/by Abhijit Associates, for the Petitioners.
Mr. N.C. Walimbe, Addl. G.P., with Mr. R.P. Kadam, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
JITENDRA JAIN, JJ**

DATE : 12TH JANUARY, 2024.

ORAL JUDGMENT : { Per A.S. Chandurkar, J. }

1. RULE. Rule made returnable forthwith. Learned AGP waives notice for the respondent-State.
2. The grievance of the petitioners is that pursuant to the order passed by the Collector, Solapur on 16th March 2019, the Tahasildar, Barshi – respondent no.5 has heard the parties in the proceedings under Section 63, read with Section 84(c), of the Maharashtra Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948. Though the hearing was conducted in September, 2023, no orders have been thereafter passed. Hence, this writ petition.
3. In the aforesaid facts, the writ petition is disposed of by directing

the respondent no.5-Tahasildar, Barshi to complete the aforesaid proceedings by passing an order thereon within a period of four weeks of receiving copy of this order. The decision taken shall be communicated to the petitioners.

4. Rule is disposed of in the aforesaid terms with no order as to costs.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]