



Harish

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.390 OF 2016

Sabeeh Mohammed Umar Bhajikhara
Through Its Constituted Attorney
Mohammed Aamir Shakeel Ahmed Shaik ...Applicant
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3224 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.390 OF 2016

Salmabanu Haji Ibrahim Bhajikhara ...Applicant
Versus
Sabeeh Mohammed Umar Bhajikhara
Through Its Constituted Attorney
Amir Shaikh Mohd. Hussain ...Respondent

Adv. R. P. Shirole i/b Mr. R. J. Rathod for the Applicant.
Adv. Asmita Jaiswal for Respondent No. 2.
Ms. M. R. Tidke, APP, for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : JANUARY 10, 2024

P. C. :

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the matter

has been amicably settled between the parties and tenders the copy of the Consent Terms which are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. The Consent Terms are signed by the constituted attorney holder of the Appellant and by the Respondent No. 2. Both parties are present before this Court and reiterate the contents of the Consent Terms. The parties are identified by their respective Advocates. The statement made in the Consent Terms are accepted as an undertaking given to this Court.

2. The Revision Application stands disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

3. Interim Application does not survive for consideration and the same is disposed of.

(SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.)