



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6691 OF 2024

Shikshan Prasarak Mandal,
Through its President & Anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6689 OF 2024

Shri Shahu Chhatrapati Shikshan Sanstha & Anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6693 OF 2024

Anandi Shikshan Prasarak Mandal & Anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6690 OF 2024

Anandi Shikshan Prasarak Mandal,
Through Its President & anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6688 OF 2024

Sanjeevan Charitable Trust Kurundwad,
Through its President & Anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6692 OF 2024

Rajat Education Society Hupari,
Throught its President & Anr. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Prashant Bhavake for the Petitioners in all the Petitions.
Mr. B. V. Samant, Addl. G. P. a/w. Ms. P. B. Chavan, AGP for the
Respondent-State.

**CORAM : A. S. CHANDURKAR &
JITENDRA JAIN, JJ.**

DATE : 8th MAY 2024.

P.C.:

1. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. By consent of the parties, heard finally.
2. The learned counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the Respondent-State submit that the issue raised in this group of writ petitions is covered by the order of this Court in Writ Petition No.6020 of 2024 and others. Both the learned counsel have agreed that the present petition may be disposed of in terms of the directions issued in the said writ petition.
3. We have perused the order passed in Writ Petition No.6020 of 2024, wherein similar issue had arisen and this Court followed the directions issued in another Writ Petition No.10681 of 2023. The relevant paragraph 3 of the order dated 2nd May 2024 in Writ Petition No.6020 of 2024 reads thus:-

“We have perused the order in Writ Petition No.10681 of 2023, wherein similar issue had arisen and this Court had passed the following order :-

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2 All these petitions which are filed by different Schools in which

grievances are made that the Petitioners are entitled to reimbursement of fees for the students admitted in the quota of 25% prescribed under Right to Education Act, though entitled are not being paid.

3 The Petitioners have relied upon Section 12 of Right To Education Act which reads thus:

*“Section 12. **Extent of school’s responsibility for free and compulsory education-***

(a) specified in sub-clause (I) of clause (n) of section 2 shall provide free and compulsory elementary education to all children admitted therein

(b) specified in sub-clause (ii) of clause (n) of section 2 shall provide free and compulsory elementary education to such proportion of children admitted therein as its annual recurring aid or grants so received bears to its annual recurring expenses, subject to a minimum of twenty-five percent,

(c) specified in sub-clauses (iii) and (iv) of clause (n) of section 2 shall admit in clause I, to the extent of at least twenty-five percent, of the strength of that class, children belonging to weaker section and disadvantaged group in the neighbourhood and provide free and compulsory elementary education till its completion.”

4 The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that inspite of repeated requests amount admissible to the Petitioner as above has not been paid to the Petitioner. The Petitioners allege that the Central Government has already released grants to the State Government for refund of fees to the 25% quota under the Act. Yet, the amount is not been passed on to the Petitioner which is affecting their functioning.

5 No reply as yet is filed though the petition was adjourned. The learned AGP states that concerned officer is present. The Respondents will have to scrutinize case of the Petitioner as regards eligibility, quantum and thereafter will have to take necessary steps. Learned AGP on instructions states that cases of each of the Petitioner would be scrutinized within a period of two weeks, so as to ensure that there is no further time sought on behalf of the State.

6 We grant four weeks time to the State, within this period the case of each of the Petitioner would be scrutinized and the eligibility quantum be determined by the concerned Respondent. The admissible amount to the Petitioner would be released within a period of 2 weeks thereafter. If the Petitioners are not entitled then the order to that effect would be passed. If the Petitioners are aggrieved by total denial or partial dis-imbursement of the amount claimed by them, it is open to them to take such action as has been permissible in law.

7 With the aforesaid observations and directions petitions are disposed of.”

4. Following the aforesaid order, we grant period of eight week's time to the State, within which period the case of each Petitioner would be scrutinized and the eligibility as well as quantum of reimbursement would be determined by the concerned Respondent. The admissible amount of reimbursement to such Petitioner would be released within a period of two weeks thereafter. If any Petitioner is not entitled for any amount of reimbursement, an order to that effect would be passed and such Petitioner would be free to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

5. The prayer for grant of interest on the amount to be reimbursed is kept open. The Petitioners are free to pursue the same in accordance with law.

6. The Writ Petitions are disposed of in above terms. No costs.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]

[A. S. CHANDURKAR, J.]