



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2404 OF 2024

Nandkumar Hanumant Patil	...Petitioner
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra And Others	...Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 3686 OF 2024

Manisha Sanjay Jadhav	...Petitioner
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra And Ors	...Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 3783 OF 2024

Pralhad Gulabrao Kamble	...Petitioner
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra And Ors	...Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 3802 OF 2024

Amol Ananda Kore	...Petitioner
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra And Ors	...Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO. 1806 OF 2022

Vijayshri Anil Maske	...Petitioner
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra And Ors	...Respondents

Adv. Satish Raut for the Petitioner in WP/2404/2024, WP/3783/2024
and WP/3802/2024

Adv. Sanjeev Kumar Deore for the Petitioner in WP/3686/2024
 Ms. Manisha Deokar for the Petitioner in WP/1806/2022
 Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/3686/2024
 Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/3783/2024 and
 WP/3802/2024
 Mr. S. B. Kalel a/w. Ms T. J. Kapre, AGP for the Respondent-State in
 WP/2404/2024
 Tejas Kapre, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/1806/2022
 Adv. Sujit Padarat i/b. Adv. Sandeep Waghmare for the Respondent Nos.
 6 & 7 in WP/1806/2022

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
 M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATED : 19 MARCH, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Common issue is involved in these petitions and therefore they are being disposed of by common order, with following table showing necessary details :

Writ Petition No.	Name of the Petitioner/ Employee	Working as	Proposal date	Proposal pending before respondent authority
2404 of 2024	Nandkumar Hanumant Patil	Assistant Teacher	13 August 2017	Respondent No. 3 Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Solapur
3686 of 2024	Manisha Sanjay Jadhav	Librarian	2 December 2016	Respondent No.2 Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Nashik

3783 of 2024	Pralhad Gulabrao Kamble	Assistant Teacher	24 December 2022	Respondent No.2 Deputy Director of Education, Pune
3802 of 2024	Amol Ananda Kore	Assistant Teacher	26 December 2022	Respondent No.2 Deputy Director of Education, Pune
1806 of 2022	Vijayshri Anil Maske	Shikshan Sevak	13 October 2014	Respondent No.4 Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Pune

2. Petitioners – Employees working with their respective Respondent Managements are seeking direction to above Respondent Authorities to decide their pending proposals for approvals of their respective posts. Learned counsel for the Respondent Nos. 6 & 7 in W.P. No. 1806 of 2022 states that there is no internal dispute in the Management Trust / Institute and they are supporting the cause of the Petitioner. In rest of the petitions, learned counsels for the Petitioners state on instructions that there is no internal dispute in the respective Management Trust / Institute and they are supporting the cause of the Petitioners till today. We proceed on the basis of said statements.

3. It is submitted that the Respective Educational Institutes have submitted proposals which are pending since long time.

4. In view of said pendency of the proposals, the respective Respondent Authorities are directed to communicate their proposed grounds of rejection/s to the respective Respondent/ Educational Institutes, if any, within a period of 3 weeks from today. The respective Respondent/Educational Institutes shall thereafter submit their explanation/s to the proposed grounds of rejection, along with supporting material including caselaws, orders of this Court, GRs etc, if relied upon.
5. The respective Respondent Authorities are thereafter directed to decide within 8 weeks, the pending proposals of the Petitioners by dealing with the explanation/s and material submitted by the Respondent/Educational Institutes and pass reasoned orders.
6. We have not expressed any opinion on the Petitioners' proposals and the same shall be decided on their own merits in accordance with law. Needless to mention that if the respective Respondent Authorities proceed to grant approvals as prayed, the aforesaid procedure/directions will not apply and necessary consequential benefits/orders will follow.
7. The writ petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)