

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 243 OF 2021

Iranna Raghunath Shelewade	...	Applicant
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. Anand S. Patil, for the Applicant.

Mr. A. A. Palkar, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Chetan Patil a/w Mr. Bhushan S. Jadhav i/b Mandar G. Bagkar,
for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Shekhar A. Ingawale, for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

CORAM : PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

DATED : 23rd APRIL, 2024.

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel Mr. Patil for the applicant-complainant, Mr. Chetan Patil for respondent No.2 and Mr. Ingawale for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2 It is informed that the trial Court has already framed the charge and the trial has commenced. Learned Counsel for the parties, therefore, are *ad-idem* that the trial can be expedited by directing the respondents-accused to attend each date unless exempted from appearance for compelling reasons.

REKHA
PRAKASH
PATIL

Digitally signed by
REKHA PRAKASH
PATIL
Date: 2024.04.24
17:28:27 +0530

Rekha Patil

3 The application, therefore, stands disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the case as expeditiously as possible without granting unnecessary adjournments to any of the parties unless there are compelling circumstances.

4 The respondents-accused shall attend each date scrupulously.

5 Needless to say that the respondents-accused shall not either directly or indirectly influence or tamper any of the prosecution witnesses.

6 Application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]