

S.R.JOSHI

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 450 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3252 OF 2010

Runja L. Lokhande

... Petitioner

Versus

The Collector, Nashik

...Respondent

Mr. Manoj A. Patil with Mr. Akash M. Murudkar i/b. Adv. Vaibhav Kulkarni, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Kankal, AGP for the Respondent-State.

Digitally
signed by
SMITA
RAJNIKANT
JOSHI
Date:
2024.03.28
14:21:09
+0530

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ.
DATED: 20th MARCH, 2024

P.C.

We have pointed out to Mr. Patil, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the Order of which the Petitioner has alleged contempt was passed by this Court on 4th May, 2010 and the present Petition was filed on 14th March, 2023, hence, the Petition would not be maintainable, considering the limitation as provided for under the provisions of Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Being so confronted, Mr. Patil, on instructions, seeks leave of the Court to withdraw this Petition with liberty to espouse all contentions of the Petitioner in appropriate proceedings with regard to issue of survey numbers.

2 Mr. Kankal, learned AGP stated that in any event, this Petition is not maintainable as the order passed by this Court, stands complied in view of the Order dated 29th November, 2020 passed by the State Government and a

Notification in that regard dated 1st March, 2011 issued by Additional Collector and Competent Authority under the Urban Land and Ceiling Regulation Act, 1976. He has stated that revenue entries are also appropriately made on 25th November, 2011 by incorporating a relevant mutation entries. A copy of the said compliances is placed on record.

3 We, accordingly, do not entertain this Contempt Petition. However, keeping open all the contentions of the Petitioner as observed in paragraph 2 herein above.

4 Petition disposed of. No costs.

(FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)