

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.3594 OF 2024

- | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Surendra Mohanrao Gudage |] |
| 2. Umesh Bhimrao Pustake |] |
| 3. Anil Tukaram Patole |] |
| 4. Nanasaheb Tatya Diwate |] |
| 5. Shivaji Shamrao Deshmukh |] |
| 6. Subhash Tukaram Bhise |] |
| 7. Rajaram Tanaji Kabugade |] |
| 8. Pralhad Hanmant Mane |] |
| 9. Iqbal Abu Nadaf |] |
| 10. Amol Rajaram Yalmar |] |
| 11. Shivaji Kashinath Gharge |] |
| 12. Sachin Ramesh Deshmukh |] |
| 13. Uttam Babu Bagade |] |
| 14. Kiran Bhalchandra Kawade |] |
| 15. Appa Tanaji Madane |] |
| 16. Iqbal Mohd. Mulla |] |
| 17. Ramesh Tanaji Kabugade |] |
| 18. Yashwant Ramchandra Pawar |] |
| 19. Vidyadevi Duryodhan Bhise |] |
| 20. Tanaji Dnyanoba Somade |] |
| 21. Anuradhan Anil Jadhav |] .. Petitioners |

Versus

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Minister for Co-operation Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai. |] |
| 2. Commissioner and Registrar,
Co-operative Societies, Pune Division, Pune. |] |
| 3. District Deputy Registrar, Dist. Satara. |] |
| 4. Yashodeep Gramin Bigar Sheti Sahakari
Patsanstha Maryadit, Maini, Khatav, Satara. |] |
| 5. Dr. Makarand Ramchandra Toro,
Chairman, Yashodeep Gramin Bigar Sheti
Sahakari Patsanstha Maryadit, Maini, Khatav. |] .. Respondents |

Mr. Ajit Kenjale, i/by Mr. Sohil Gulubani, Mr. Kaustubh Kandpile, Ms. Sai Kadam, Mr. Azharuddin Khan and Ms. Prachi Deokar, for the Petitioners.
Mr. A.R. Deolekar, AGP for the Respondent-State of Maharashtra.

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
JITENDRA JAIN, JJ**

DATE : 13TH MARCH, 2024.

ORAL JUDGMENT : { Per A.S. Chandurkar, J. }

1. Considering the nature of directions proposed to be issued, service of notice on respondent nos.4 and 5 is dispensed with. RULE. Rule is made returnable forthwith. The learned Assistant Government Pleader waives notice for respondent nos.1 to 3.

2. The only prayer made by the petitioners is for expeditious consideration of the revision application that has been preferred by respondent nos.4 and 5 under Section 154 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 for challenging the order dated 9th February 2018 passed by the Divisional Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies setting aside an order passed under Section 88 of the said Act. The Revisional Authority on 30th October 2018 stayed the order dated 9th February 2018 during pendency of the revision application. It is the grievance of the petitioners that despite passage of more than four years, the revision application has not been decided. A request was made on 22nd February 2024 to decide the proceedings immediately.

3. In aforesaid facts, since the revision application is pending for a period of more than four years, it is directed that respondent no.1 shall

consider the said revision application on its own merits and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two months of receiving copy of this order. The revision application shall be decided after hearing all concerned parties in accordance with law. All points raised are kept open.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms. Rule accordingly.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]