



Diksha Rane

64. IA 884-24.doc

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.884/2024  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.10/2007**

LLEWELLYN DENIS NETTO ..APPLICANT  
VS.  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ..RESPONDENTS

-----  
Adv. Priya Maurya for the applicant.  
Smt. Sangeeta D. Shinde, APP for the State.  
Adv. D. P. Singh for the respondent no.2.  
-----

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.**

**DATE : MARCH 5, 2024.**

**P.C. :**

- 1.** Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the respondent no.2.
- 2.** This is an application for directions to the respondent no.2 to allow the applicant to renew the passport.
- 3.** Mr. D. P. Singh, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.2 submitted that if the applicant is otherwise eligible, as per the norms, the respondent no.2 will renew the same for the period of one year.
- 4.** The appeal is of the year 2007. The appeal is not likely

to be heard soon. For the reasons mentioned in the application and considering that the respondent no.2 is willing to process the application for renewal/reissue, in my opinion, for the present if the applicant is otherwise eligible, the passport could be renewed/reissued for the period of five years. The application to be processed expeditiously.

**5.** The application is disposed of.

**(M. S. KARNIK, J.)**