

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.4698 OF 2022

Brihan Mumbai Electric Supply &
Transport Undertaking Through
Its General Manager ... Petitioner

V/s.

Best Workers Union, 42 Kennedy Bridge,
Mumbai ... Respondent

Mr. B. Wadhvani i/by M. V. Kini & Co., for Petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Todkar, for respondent i/by Ms. Neeta P Karnik.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : JANUARY 3, 2024

P.C.:

1. Learned Advocate for the respondents states that the writ petition arises out of interim order in a complaint filed before the Labour Court. However, during pendency of the present writ petition, the Labour Court has disposed of the main complaint. Hence, nothing survives in the present writ petition.

2. The Advocate for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions; however, accepting statement of the Advocate for respondent, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

3. If, it is found that the statement of respondent is incorrect, it will be open for the petitioner to apply for recall of order.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)