

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.446 OF 2024

D. ArchanaApplicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra Respondent

.....
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.789 OF 2024

Ms. Sarita Yadav, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. C.D. Mali, APP for the Respondent-State.
Mr. Ajay S. Mishra, Advocate a/w. Ravi B. Patwa, Pankaj
Mishra, Sharmila Mishra, for the Intervenor.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.

DATE : 27th FEBRUARY, 2024

P.C. :

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant states that the Applicant wants to withdraw this Application. Learned counsel has not cited any genuine reasons for withdrawing this application. Instead, learned counsel submitted that the Applicant wants to take chances by approaching the Sessions Court again for the same relief.

2. This cannot be the reason for withdrawal of this application. Learned counsel for the Applicant is not arguing the case on merits. Under such circumstances, the prayer for withdrawal of this application is not genuine. Therefore, though I am permitting the Applicant to withdraw this application, I am making it clear that no specific liberty is granted to the Applicant to approach the Court of Session again for the same relief which is claimed in this particular application because no genuine ground is made out by the Applicant for withdrawal of this Application.

3. With these observations, the Application is allowed to be withdrawn and is disposed of as such. With the disposal of the Application, nothing survives in the intervention application. It is also disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

PRADIPKUMAR
PRAKASHRAO
DESHMANE

Digitally signed by
PRADIPKUMAR
PRAKASHRAO
DESHMANE
Date: 2024.02.28
11:54:41 +0530