



Diksha Rane

916. IA 209-24.doc

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.209/2024
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1704/2023**

SURENDRA SWAMIKUMAR KANOJIA ..APPLICANT

VS.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA ..RESPONDENT

Adv. Pramod S. Kumbhar for the applicant.
Mr. B. B. Kulkarni, APP for the State.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 23, 2024.

P.C. :

- 1.** Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State.
- 2.** This is an application for enlarging the applicant on provisional cash bail and allow him to furnish the surety within six weeks after his release.
- 3.** The application is opposed by learned APP. It is submitted that the applicant's brother is residing at Uttar Pradesh. It is submitted that the applicant will abscond.
- 4.** I find that the applicant was enlarged on bail by an order dated 11/12/2023. The applicant is not in a position to

avail of the bail for the reason that the applicant's parents have expired long back and he has one brother, who is residing at Uttar Pradesh who since applicant's arrest is not in contact. The applicant is finding it difficult to arrange for surety while he is in jail.

5. In my opinion, the application, for the reasons mentioned in the application, deserves to be allowed.

6. The application is allowed to enable the applicant to avail of the facility of the bail which was granted to him as far back as on 11/12/2023.

7. The applicant is permitted to furnish cash bail surety in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- for a period of 6 weeks in lieu of surety.

8. Learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submitted that the applicant will not leave the State of Maharashtra till the trial concludes. The statement is accepted.

9. The application is disposed of accordingly.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)