

pvr

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 646 OF 2024**

Sachin Shivaji Thombare & Ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Rahul S. Kate, for the Petitioners.

Ms. S. D. Vyas, Addl. Govt. Pleader with Ms. P. N. Diwan, AGP for the State.

Mr. Shubham Misar, for Respondent No.6.

**CORAM : G. S. KULKARNI &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ.**

DATE : 16 JANUARY 2024.

P.C.:

1. After this petition was heard for some time, Mr. Kate, learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw this petition, to enable the petitioners to take recourse to an appropriate remedy as available in law. He submits that the respondents are likely to take coercive action against the petitioners, and would request for a protection till the petitioners take recourse to an appropriate remedy.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, to enable the petitioners to take recourse to appropriate remedy as permissible in law, we

are of the opinion that the course of action as suggested by Mr. Kate needs to be followed.

3. We direct that for a period of fifteen days from today, no coercive action be taken by the respondents, this merely, to enable the petitioners to avail of the alternate remedy and grant of such limited protection would not amount to any expression on the rival contentions. We keep open all contentions of the parties to be agitated in the appropriate proceedings.

4. Disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

[FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.]

[G. S. KULKARNI, J.]