

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 153 OF 2024**

Prashant Parmeshwar Dethe	...	Applicant
versus		
The State of Maharashtra		Respondent

Mr. Ashwinkumar Kapadnis, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. G. Talhar, APP for the State.

Mr. Tushar Sonawane, Advocate for the Complainant.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 5th APRIL, 2024.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant.
2. Mr. Sonawane, learned counsel appearing for the complainant submitted that he has received instructions from the complaint that he has engaged other advocate to represent him, hence, requested to discharge his appearance. Mr. Phatate, learned counsel, submitted that he has instructions to appear on behalf of the complainant and he undertakes to file vakalatnama during the course of day.
3. Considering the submissions of both learned counsel, the appearance of Advocate – Mr. Tushar Sonawane for complainant is discharged.
4. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that, on

every date, the complainant changes his advocate, due to which, the matter is prolonged.

5. Considering the submission of learned counsel for the applicant, last chance is given to the complainant to go on with the matter. If on the next date, complainant changes his advocate, this Court will hear the matter on merit.

6. Stand over to 24th April 2024.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)