

Digitally
signed by
BALAJI
GOVINDRAO
PANCHAL
Date:
2024.02.08
11:16:09
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1229 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP N O.35317 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development
Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Dashrath Gulabrao Pawar & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1250 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35327 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development
Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Vilas Ramchandra Raskar & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1233 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35335 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development
Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Daji Annaji Pawar
Since deceased through legal heirs
Shantaram Daji Pawar & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1252 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35345 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation
Versus
Kundalik Uttam Dhamal & Ors.

..Applicant/Appellant
..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1242 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35351 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation
Versus
Dashrath Gulabrao Pawar & Ors.

..Applicant/Appellant
..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1246 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35357 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation
Versus
Vilas Ramchandra Raskar & Ors.

..Applicant/Appellant
..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1227 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35363 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation
Versus
Mangal Hanumant Dhamal & Ors.

..Applicant/Appellant
..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1256 OF 2024**

**IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35368 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation	..Applicant/Appellant
Versus	
Dattatraya Uttam Dhamal & Ors.	..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1223 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35377 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation	..Applicant/Appellant
Versus	
Yashwant Kondiba Dhamal & Ors.	..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1237 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35383 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation	..Applicant/Appellant
Versus	
Balasaheb Anandrao Pawar & Ors.	..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1233 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35390 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation	..Applicant/Appellant
Versus	
Marutrao Ganpatrao Dhamal	
Since deceased through legal heirs	

Hindurao Marutrao Dhamal & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1254 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35397 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Krishna Shivram Dhamal & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1235 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35405 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Yashwant Kondiba Dhamal & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1231 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.35411 OF 2023**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley Development Corporation ..Applicant/Appellant
Versus
Mangal Hanumant Dhumal & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Nitin Gaware Patil, for the Appellants.
Ms. Amrita Kharkar i/by P. H. Potnis, for the Respondents.
Mr. A. R. Patil, for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 6th FEBRUARY, 2024

PC.

1. Heard learned advocate Mr. Nitin Gaware for the Applicant/acquiring body.
2. These Applications are taken out seeking condonation of delay caused in filing Appeals challenging judgment and award dated 28.11.2022 in respective Land Acquisition References passed by learned 2nd Joint Civil Judge Senior Division, Satara. It is stated that time is required to obtain sanctions and approvals from the concerned authorities and to take legal advise from the advisers. It is submitted that the delay is not deliberate but bonafide. It is prayed that the delay be condoned.
3. Learned advocate for the claimants vehemently opposes the Application stating that there is no proper explanation given for delay. The reasons stated in the Application are not sufficient.
4. This Court finds that for the maximum delay of 395 days, there is some reason assigned as to why delay is caused.
5. Considering the reasons stated, this Court inclined to allow the Applications.

(905)-FAST-35317-23 & group matters.doc.

6. The Applications stand disposed of. Delay stands condoned.

7. Office to register First Appeals.

8. Office to place First Appeals on board dated 20th February, 2024.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]