

impugned order the learned Minister has set aside the order passed on 28th March 2014 and remanded the matter back to the Deputy Director Land Records.

3. Learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 and 5 further submits that on the ground of pendency of the petition, no further action is taken by the concerned authority after the order of remand.

4. I have perused the petition. The petition is bereft of any explanation for filing the order after a period of five years. The petition thus suffers from delay and latches. In absence of any explanation for challenging the order of remand after a period of five years, I do not see any ground to invoke the powers under Article 227 of the Constitution for interfering with the impugned order.

5. The petition is devoid of merits and hence the petition is dismissed.

[GAURI GODSE, J.]