

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4825 OF 2024

Sachin Govindrao Kanoje

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Datta H. Pawar for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.

DATED : 13 JUNE 2024

P.C.:

1. The representation of the Petitioner is regarding the period where the Petitioner has not joined on the transferred post. The observation of the order in Appeal passed by the Commissioner, Pune rejecting the Appeal arising from the mutual transfer, are that the period of two years, where the Petitioner did not join, the Petitioner himself is responsible for the loss. This would indicate that the Commissioner has opined on how the period needs to be treated.
2. The Petitioner should have taken substantive proceedings in light of this observation, subject to delay and laches.
3. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)