

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 8195 OF 2008**

Vishnu Ganpati Kalugade ...Petitioner  
Versus  
The Secretary, Shikshan Prasarak Mandal and .. Respondents  
ors. .

Mr. Suresh Pakale i/b. Mr. Nilesh Desai, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Mr. T. S. Ingale, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.  
Ms. V. R. Raje, AGP for Respondent No.3-State.

**CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.**  
**DATED : 27<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2024.**

**P.C. :**

1. Learned counsel for both sides placed on record consent terms duly signed by respective parties. The consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification.
2. Learned Registrar (Judicial-I) is requested to verify the signatories of the consent terms and to submit report today itself.
3. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, learned Registrar (Judicial – I) has submitted report indicates that the consent terms were read over to the parties and explained them in vernacular in presence of their advocates and that the parties have admitted the recitals of the consent terms. It was also found by the

leaned Registrar that the parties have signed the said consent terms voluntarily.

4. Perused the consent terms. Having regard to the nature of dispute, there is no impediment in allowing the petition in terms of the consent terms.

5. It is, however, clarified that the order in terms of consent terms would bind only to the signatories thereto.

6. Petition is allowed in terms of consent terms.

( R. M. JOSHI, J.)