Shephali

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 42 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8229 OF 2018
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4474 OF 2023
IN
REVIEW PETITION NO. 42 OF 2023

Ramesh Holaram Narsinghani & Anr ...Review Petitioners

In the matter between

Narayan Chetandas Sundarani …Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Superintendent of Land Record & Ors …Respondents

SHEPHALI SANJAY MORMARE

Digitally signed by SHEPHALI SANJAY MORMARE
Date: 2024.03.26 10:19:45 +0530

Mr Yuvraj Narvankar, *for the Review Petitioners.*
Ms Minal Chandnani, *with Bhavesh Sawant, for the Petitioner in WP/8229/2018 & for the Respondent in Review Petition.*
Mr AI Patel, Addl. GP, *with PMJ Deshpande, AGP, for the Respondent-State.*

CORAM G.S. Patel & Neela Gokhale, JJ.
DATED: 22nd March 2024

PC:-

1. The Review Petition is by a party who did not appear despite service. That is no ground on its own to seek review. The ground of review is that the Review Petitioner 'was not heard'. If the Review Petitioner does not appear despite notice, such a prayer in review cannot be sustained. That the prayer is a substantive relief regarding a mutation entry.

2. Ms Chandnani points out in fairness her submission noted in paragraph 3 that an appeal of 29th February 2016 was dismissed was based on incorrect instructions. That appeal is pending. That will make no difference. Entries in land revenue records do not transfer title. If there are any appeals pending, those will be decided and disposed of in accordance with law on their merits.

3. No further orders are required. The Review Petition is disposed of with these observations. There will be no order as to costs.

(Neela Gokhale, J) **(G. S. Patel, J)**