

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.410 OF 2022

Raosaheb Shripati Mohite & Ors. Petitioners
Vs.
Sanjayasinh Ramrao Chavan & Anr. Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.411 OF 2022**

Rajaram Kaliappa Sutar & Ors. Petitioners
Vs.
Sanjayasinh Ramrao Chavan & Anr. Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.412 OF 2022**

Prakash Anna Patil & Ors. Petitioners
Vs.
Sanjayasinh Ramrao Chavan & Anr. Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.414 OF 2022**

Arjuna Shamrao Patil & Ors. Petitioners
Vs.
Sanjayasinh Ramrao Chavan & Anr. Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.416 OF 2022**

Sanjay Keraba Kumbhar & Ors.	 Petitioners
Vs.	
Sanjayasinh Ramrao Chavan & Anr.	 Respondents
Mr. Rahul kadam for the Petitioners	
Adv. Sidheshwar N. Biradar for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2.	
Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent-State.	

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATED : 4 MARCH, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. The order of which, breach is alleged is dated 11 March 2020 passed in Writ Petition No. 12576 of 2019, Writ Petition No. 12604 of 2019, Writ Petition No. 1567 of 2020, Writ Petition No. 1567 of 2020 and Writ Petition No. 1568 of 2020.
3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent–Zilla Parishad states that according to the Respondent – Zilla Parishad the order was complied with on 1 December 2020 itself. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners states that it is not a full compliance.

4. Having considered the facts and circumstances and the order of 1 December 2020, we are not inclined to proceed further in the contempt jurisdiction. We leave it open to the Petitioners to adopt substantive remedies in case if the Petitioners are not satisfied with the order passed by the Respondent – Zilla Parishad.
5. All the Contempt Petitions are disposed of.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)