IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 243 OF 2021

Iranna Raghunath Shelewade … Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. … Respondents

.....

Mr. Anand S. Patil, for the Applicant.

Mr. A. A. Palkar, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Chetan Patil a/w Mr. Bhushan S. Jadhav i/b Mandar G. Bagkar, for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Shekhar A. Ingawale, for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

.....

CORAM : PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

DATED : 23rd APRIL, 2024.

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel Mr. Patil for the applicant-complainant, Mr. Chetan Patil for respondent No.2 and Mr. Ingawale for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2 It is informed that the trial Court has already framed the charge and the trial has commenced. Learned Counsel for the parties, therefore, are *ad-idem* that the trial can be expedited by directing the respondents-accused to attend each date unless exempted from appearance for compelling reasons.

REKHA PRAKASH PATIL
Digitally signed by REKHA PRAKASH PATIL
Date: 2024.04.24 17:28:27 +0530

3 The application, therefore, stands disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the case as expeditiously as possible without granting unnecessary adjournments to any of the parties unless there are compelling circumstances.

4 The respondents-accused shall attend each date scrupulously.

5 Needless to say that the respondents-accused shall not either directly or indirectly influence or tamper any of the prosecution witnesses.

6 Application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]