

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2021

Hanmant Vishnu Mulik ...Applicant
Versus
Pravin Balaso Yedke & Anr. ...Respondents

....

Mr. Yash Fadtare i/by Mr. Nitesh Mohite, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mrs. Savita Yadav, APP for the Respondent – State.

....

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.
DATE : 19th JULY, 2024.

P.C.:

1. In this application, the informant is seeking cancellation of bail granted by the trial Court vide Order dated 21st September, 2019. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the order is perverse and before filing of the charge-sheet, the bail was granted.

2. I have gone through the order granting bail to the Respondent/Accused. The learned trial Court has considered the fact that the case is based on circumstantial evidence and considering the material collected by the Investigating Officer during the investigation, after recording the detailed reasons the application was allowed.

Digitally signed
by SUNNY
ANKUSHRAO
THOTE
Date:
2024.07.20
14:00:00 +0530

3. Thus, considering the fact that the ultimate conclusion arrived at by the trial Court is just and proper, only on the ground that the bail granted before filing of the charge-sheet, this application cannot be allowed. Moreover, after grant of bail the period of five years has been lapsed and during this period there is no complaint of misuse of liberty by the Respondent No.1.

4. In the circumstances, I do not find any merit in these matters, accordingly the application is rejected.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)