

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2021

Hanmant Vishnu Mulik ...Applicant
Versus
Tousif Najir Shaikh & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2021**

Hanmant Vishnu Mulik ...Applicant
Versus
Amar Ashok Ahir & Anr. ...Respondents

....

Mr. Samay Pawar i/by Mr. Nitesh Mohite, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. Supriya Kak, APP for the Respondent – State in APPLN/88/2021.
Mrs. Priyanka Rane, APP for the Respondent–State in APPLN/89/2021.
Mr. Ramchandra Gaikwad, Police Constable, Bhilwadi Police Station,
Sangli, present.

....

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.
DATE : 18th JULY, 2024.

P.C.:

1. In these applications, the informant is seeking cancel the bail granted by the trial Court vide Order dated 30th September, 2019. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the order is perverse and before filing of the charge-sheet, the bail was granted.

2. I have gone through the order granting bail to the Respondent/Accused. The learned trial Court has considered that

the case is based on circumstantial evidence and considering the material collected by the Investigating Officer during the investigation, after recording the detailed reasons the application was allowed.

3. Thus, considering the fact that the ultimate conclusion arrived at by the trial Court is just and proper, only on the ground that the bail granted before filing of the charge-sheet, these applications cannot be allowed. Moreover, after grant of bail the period of five years has been lapsed.

4. In the circumstances, I do not find any merit in these matters, accordingly the applications are rejected.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)