

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.8801 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Rajashree Lakshman Yadav	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8566 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Shobatai Malhari Khade	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8524 OF 2003

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2768 OF 2011

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Meghana Bhimrao Mane	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8480 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Anil Pandurang Dhebe	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8421 OF 2003

The Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Dhanashri Bharat SankpalRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8576 OF 2003**

The Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Rajaram Chagan AwaleRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8562 OF 2003**

Medical Superintendent,
Rural HospitalPetitioner
V/S
Laky Nandu ChavanRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8558 OF 2003**

The Medical Superintendent,
Rural Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Balasaheb Vishnu KharmateRespondent

Ms. Vaishali S. Nimbalkar, AGP *for the Petitioners/State.*
Mr. Suresh Pakale, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Nilesh Desai *for Respondents.*

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.
DATE : 27 JUNE 2024.

P.C.:

1 Mentioned. Not on Board. Taken on Production Board.

2 The Petition has been allowed by judgment pronounced on 26 June 2024 and the judgment and order passed by the Member, Industrial Court has been set aside.

3 Mr. Pakale, the learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondents-employees would submit that he could not remain present while judgment and order was pronounced on 26 June 2024. He would pray that the judgment and order be stayed for a period of 12 weeks to enable the Respondents-employees to test the judgment and order before the Supreme Court. The request is opposed by Ms. Nimbalkar, AGP appearing for the Petitioners/State.

4 Considering the position that Respondents-employees are in service since the years 2000-2001, the judgment and order is stayed for a period of 10 weeks only to the extent of continuance of Respondents-employees in service. It is however clarified that so far as the direction for permanency is concerned, the judgment and order is not stayed.

Digitally signed
by
SUDARSHAN
RAJALINGAM
KATKAM
Date:
2024.06.28
15:04:06 +0530

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)