

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.8801 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Rajashree Lakshman Yadav	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8566 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Shobatai Malhari Khade	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8524 OF 2003

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2768 OF 2011

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Meghana Bhimrao Mane	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8480 OF 2003

Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital & Anr. V/S Anil Pandurang Dhebe	Petitioners Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.8421 OF 2003

The Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Dhanashri Bharat SankpalRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8576 OF 2003**

The Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Rajaram Chagan AwaleRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8562 OF 2003**

Medical Superintendent,
Rural HospitalPetitioner
V/S
Laky Nandu ChavanRespondent

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8558 OF 2003**

The Medical Superintendent,
Rural Hospital & Anr.Petitioners
V/S
Balasaheb Vishnu KharmateRespondent

Ms. Vaishali S. Nimbalkar, AGP *for the Petitioners/State.*
Mr. Suresh Pakale, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Nilesh Desai *for Respondents.*

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.
DATE : 27 JUNE 2024.

P.C.:

1 Mentioned. Not on Board. Taken on Production Board.

2 The Petition has been allowed by judgment pronounced on 26 June 2024 and the judgment and order passed by the Member, Industrial Court has been set aside.

3 Mr. Pakale, the learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondents-employees would submit that he could not remain present while judgment and order was pronounced on 26 June 2024. He would pray that the judgment and order be stayed for a period of 12 weeks to enable the Respondents-employees to test the judgment and order before the Supreme Court. The request is opposed by Ms. Nimbalkar, AGP appearing for the Petitioners/State.

4 Considering the position that Respondents-employees are in service since the years 2000-2001, the judgment and order is stayed for a period of 10 weeks only to the extent of continuance of Respondents-employees in service. It is however clarified that so far as the direction for permanency is concerned, the judgment and order is not stayed.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)