

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3059 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.118 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.729 OF 2024
WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.118 OF 2024**

Yashwantsinh Rajaram Markam	..Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	..Respondents

Mr. Vikrant V. Phatate a/w Mahesh S. Arjun, Appointed Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. M. R. Tidke, APP for the Respondent/State.

Mr. Sanjog Parab, Senior Advocate (Appointed for Respondent No.2) a/w Mohan Rao, Sulabha Rane & Sakshi Baadkar, for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.

DATE : 27th AUGUST, 2024

P. C.

1. The Applicant has sent this Application through jail. He has stated that he does not want to prosecute his Criminal Appeal, though in the Application the Appeal number is wrongly mentioned.

2. Learned counsel for the Applicant was directed to communicate with the Applicant through video conference and verify whether the Applicant really wants to withdraw the Appeal.

Learned APP was also directed to take instructions from the Superintendent of jail verifying genuineness of the wish expressed by the Applicant to withdraw the Appeal. It was noted in the order dated 14th August, 2024.

3. Today, learned counsel for the Applicant makes a statement that he had a conversation with the Applicant through video conference and the Applicant really wants to withdraw this Appeal. Learned APP has also produced the report of the Deputy Superintendent of Kolhapur Central Prison repeating the Appellant's wish to withdraw this Appeal.

4. Considering this situation, the Criminal Appeal preferred by the Appellant is permitted to be withdrawn and the same is disposed of as withdrawn.

5. In view of the above, all the pending companion Applications are also disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)