

and there is no progress in the trial. He further submits that considering the role attributed to the applicant in the F.I.R. he may be released on bail.

4. The learned APP points out that the body of the deceased was cut into small pieces and disposed of. It is submitted that it is the applicant who disclosed the place where the body was disposed of.
5. It is further pointed out that there is eyewitness. Moreover, since sufficient evidence is collected by the Investigating Officer during investigation, this Court may not grant bail to the applicant.
6. Considering the allegations made in the F.I.R. and the role attributed to the applicant, it is evident that the offence is very serious. Moreover, the manner in which the body was disposed of after cutting the same into small pieces, it can be seen that the deceased was brutally murdered.
7. In the circumstances, as the offence is serious and furthermore, there is sufficient incriminating material available on record to show the involvement of the applicant, I do not find this as a fit case for grant of bail.

Accordingly, the Criminal Bail Application is **rejected**.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J)