



3. It is urged that had an opportunity been given, the Petitioner and Respondent Management would have given appropriate and necessary explanation to reasons stated in impugned order for return.

4. Perused the impugned order. It has resulted in a situation where inquiry about the grounds of return are required to be done first time in this Court.

5. In that view of the matter, we dispose of this petition by directing that the impugned order dated 9 December 2022 will be treated as notice to Respondent - Educational Institute of the proposed ground/s for return of Petitioner's proposal. The Petitioner's proposal stands restored. If there are any other grounds on which the Respondent Education Officer intends to return/reject the proposal, he is directed to communicate the same to the Respondent-Educational Institute within a period of 3 weeks from today.

6. The Respondent Educational Institute shall thereafter submit its explanation to the proposed grounds, along with supporting material including government resolutions, case laws / orders of this Court etc. if relied upon. The Respondent / Education Officer is directed to decide the proposal thereafter

within a period of 8 weeks, by dealing with the explanation given by the Educational Institute as also dealing with case law/orders of this Court, by passing a reasoned order, subject to other time bound directions.

7. We have not expressed any opinion on the Petitioner's proposal and the same shall be decided on its own merits in accordance with law. Needless to mention that if the Respondent-Education Officer decides to grant proposal as prayed and allot Shalarth ID consequently, the aforesaid procedure/directions will not apply.

8. The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(M.M.SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)