



Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.1054 OF 2019

ROHAN PRAKASH HEDE.,

... PETITIONER

Versus

**UNION OF INDIA, THR. MINISTRY OF
CORPORATE AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI AND
ANR.,**

... RESPONDENTS

Ms Shweta Parulekar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr Raviraj Chodankar, Central Government Standing Counsel for
the Respondents.

**CORAM:- M. S. KARNIK &
VALMIKI MENEZES, JJ.**

DATED :- 25th June, 2024

P.C.:

Heard Ms S. Parulekar, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr R. Chodankar, learned Central Government Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. In view of the subsequent events and as the disqualification of five years contemplated by Section 164 of the Companies Act is already over during the pendency of the petition, the petitioner can be now reappointed as the Director of the Company or any other Company. The question raised in the petition, therefore, is only academic. In case of any difficulty, and, if a decision on any of the contentions raised in

the petition may have a bearing in any proceedings, liberty to apply for restoration. The questions raised in the petition are kept open.

3. The petition is disposed of. No costs.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

M. S. KARNIK, J.