



Meena

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.39 OF 2018

RAVALNATH DEVASTHAN, THR. ITS
PRESIDENT, BHUTNATH DESSAI.,

... APPLICANT

Versus

SURAJ VISHWESHWAR SINAI LAAD AND
ANR.,

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Prasheen Lotlikar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Pranav Kakodkar, Advocate for the Respondents.

CORAM:- BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.

DATED :- 13th June, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. P. Lotlikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. P. Kakodkar, learned Counsel for the Respondents.
2. An application filed along with the authority letter of the Applicant praying for withdrawal of CRA No.39/2018 unconditionally.
3. Mr. Kakodkar submits that the Applicant who is the Defendant is filing multiple applications under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC and CRA No.3/2024 is filed by the Applicant against the rejection of his second application. He submits that the issue with regard to multiple applications could be kept open even if the present revision is allowed

to be withdrawn.

4. The contention of Mr. Kakodkar could be accepted by keeping open the issue with regard to the filing of multiple applications under Order 7 Rule 11 by the same party.

5. Accordingly CRA 39/2018 is permitted to be withdrawn unconditionally.

6. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.