

vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.66 OF 2024

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.415 OF 2011

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.66 OF 2024

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND ANR

... APPLICANTS

Versus

DR. VANITA DA GRACIA PINTO DA
SILVA ALIAS DR. VANITA G. PINTO

... RESPONDENT

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.415 OF 2011

DR. VANITA DA GRACIA PINTO DA
SILVA ALIAS DR. VANITA G. PINTO

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY.,

... RESPONDENT

Mr P. Faldessai, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicants.

Mr P. Rao and Mr A. Parrikar, Advocate for the respondent.

**CORAM:- BHARAT P. DESHPANDE &
VALMIKI MENEZES, JJ.**

DATED :- 5th April, 2024

P.C.

Heard Mr P. Faldessai, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant and Mr P. Rao, learned Advocate for the respondents.

2. This is an application for modification of the order passed by this Court on 9.7.2019.

3. Learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant vehemently submitted that though the entire order has been implemented as on date, modification is required to the extent of grant of first financial

upgradation as the respondent was initially appointed as Assistant lecturer with the grade pay of Rs.5400/- and not Rs.6600/-.

4. We have gone through the judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench (Coram: S. G. Gupte and N. D. Sardesai, JJ) dated 9.7.2019. Reasons disclosed in the said order and the fact that the entire order has now been implemented, we see no reason for any modification of the said order and more so, on the contention raised in the present application. The contentions which are now raised in paragraphs 3 onwards are discussed and dealt with elaborately in the order dated 9.7.2019 and hence, the question of modification cannot be entertained at this stage.

5. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed. No costs.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.

VINITA VIKAS NAIK Digitally signed by VINITA VIKAS NAIK
Date: 2024.04.05 17:06:08 +05'30'